UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/537,144	01/04/2006	Jin-Kyeong Kim	CU-4236 WWP	8485
26530 LADAS & PAR	7590 10/30/200 RRY LLP		EXAMINER	
224 SOUTH M	ICHIGAN AVENUE		LAM, DUNG LE	
SUITE 1600 CHICAGO, IL 60604			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/30/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/537,144	KIM ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	DUNG LAM	2617				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 8-10</u> is/are pending in the app	olication.					
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1-6,8 and 10</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) <u>9</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>6/2/05</u> is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
			ED 1 121/d)			
<u> </u>	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
	animor. Note the attached Office	Action of formal a	0 102.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage			
Attachment(s)	л П.,	(DTO 440)				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ∐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)					

Art Unit: 2617

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

The examiner notes that a Terminal Disclaimer was 7/7/09. However, the terminal disclaimer contained incorrect language. TD stated "prior patent" it should state "prior copending application" (see paragraphs 1 and 2). Thus the following double patenting rejection is repeated.

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 47.73(b).

2. Claim 1 is are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over the corresponding claim 7 of Application No. 10/537846. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other. Claim 1 of the pending application has substantially the same limitations as the combined claims 7 of the co-pending claim. For easy reference the limitations are sequentially labeled from a through d. The correspondence of the claims is as followed.

Limitation 1c of application 10/537144 same as 7b of application 10/537846 Limitation 1a of application 10/537144 same as 7c of application 10/537846

Art Unit: 2617

Limitation 1d of application 10/537144 same as 7d of application 10/537846 Limitation 1b of application 10/537144 same as 7a of application 10/537846

3. Claim 6 is rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over the corresponding claim 1 of the copending Application No. 10/537846. Claim 1b through 1c of 10/537846 corresponds to 6a through 6c of 10/537144 respectively. The only difference is 1a of 10/537846 specifically requires that the position is from the base station in which one of the reference shows (see rejection below) that it is an obvious variance to store data either remotely at a base station or at a MS.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6, 8, 10 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over **Gunnarsson** *el al* (US Number 2003/0118015) in view of **Souissi** (US 2002/0187780) further in view of **Hogan** (2003/0040314).

- 4. Regarding claim **1**, **Gunnarsson** teaches a communication device that connects with a network through a wireless local area network (WLAN) access point to receive data, the communication device comprising ([0018]):
- a GPS receiving module for receiving position information of the connection device from a GPS satellite ([0019]);

Art Unit: 2617

 a WLAN module for connecting with the WLAN access point (wlan interface [0018, 0024]);

• a controller for selectively operating the WLAN module based on the position information of the communication device output from the GPS receiving module and the position information of the WLAN access point stored in the storage unit ([0024, 0023, 0025, 0028])

However, **Gunnarsson** does not specifically teach a storage unit for storing position information of the WLAN access point. In an analogous art, **Souissi** teaches a storage unit for storing position information of the WLAN access point (roaming table of containing geographic locations of preferred networks which can be a WLAN which contains AP [55], [0065-0066, 82-86]), and d) receiving new position information of the WLAN access point from a position information server that is connected to the network following connection to the WLAN access point, and renewing the stored position information ([86, 55, 75, 81-83]). Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine Gunnarsson's teaching of the MS to operate the WLAN module to detect a nearby access point with Souissi's teaching of storing the AP location at the MS to make it faster to compare the MS' position with the AP position without having to use the system resources to query the network.

However, they do not disclose the version. In an analogus art, **Hogan** teaches renewing the stored position information, wherein the communication device receives the new WLAN access point position information after receiving a "Position Information

Renewal" message according to checking result of version information on the WLAN access point position information by the position information server ([7, 8, 33-34]). Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine said references with Hogan's teaching of comparing a version prior to storing the data to make sure that the appropriate date is being saved.

Page 5

Regarding claim 2 and 10, Gunnarsson, Souissi and Hogan teach the communication device of claim 1, wherein the position information of the WLAN access point includes a position of the WLAN access point and a service radius of the WLAN access point (Gunnarsson, measured from center of WLAN [22]).

Regarding claim 3, Gunnarsson, Souissi and Hogan teach the communication device of claim 2, wherein the controller operates the WLAN module when the communication device is within the service radius of the WLAN access point (Gunnarsson, measured from center of WLAN [22]).

Regarding claim **4**, **Gunnarsson**, **Souissi and Hogan** teach the communication device of claim 2, wherein the WLAN module is operated by control of the controller to detect a beacon signal output by the WLAN access point (Gunnarsson, WLAN Interface begins to search for the WLAN [0024]).

Regarding claim 5, Gunnarsson, Souissi and Hogan teach the communication device of claim 1, wherein the communication device is connected to a position

Art Unit: 2617

information server, which is connected to the Network through the WLAN access point, and receives WLAN access point position information through the position information server to renew the position information stored in the storage unit (Souissi, [75, 78-86]).

Regarding claim **6**, **Gunnarsson** teach the method for connecting to a wireless local area network (WLAN) access point, which is connected to a network, for a communication device that includes a WLAN module and a GPS receiving module, the method comprising:

- a) continuously checking a present position of the communication device through the GPS receiving module ([18, 19, 24, 25 and 28]);
- b) determining an operating point of the WLAN module based on stored position information of the WLAN access point and the position information of the communication device ([22, 24, 25, 28]); and
- c) driving the WLAN module to detect a beacon signal periodically transmitted by the WLAN access point, and connecting to the WLAN access point with the detected beacon signal ([24, 28]).

Regarding claim **8**, **Gunnarsson**, **Souissi and Hogan** teach the method of claim **7**, wherein step d) except: transmitting a "New Position Information Verification" message to the position information server through the WLAN module;

In an analogous art, **Eriksson** teach transmitting a "New Position Information Verification" message to the position information server through the WLAN module

(device initiates a query, [32-34]); receiving from the position information server a reply to the above message, that is, a "Position Information Renewal" message (receiving the position information [32-34]) or a "No New Information" message; and receiving new position information of the WLAN access point from the position information server in the case where the communication device receives the "Position Information Renewal" message, and performing processes to renew the position information ([33-34]).

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims **9** is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Regarding **claim 9**, the prior art of record fails to disclose all the underlined limitations below in combination with all the remaining limitations of claim 9 and claims 6 and 8.

Claim 9 recites,

"The method of claim 8, further comprising:

the position information server receiving the "New Position Information Verification" message;

<u>checking version information</u> of WLAN access point position information that the communication device has <u>from the "New Position Information Verification" message</u>;

transmitting the "Position Information Renewal" message to the communication device in the case where a first version of the WLAN access point position information

Art Unit: 2617

that the communication device has is older than a second version of present WLAN access point position information;

transmitting the "No New Information" message to the communication device if the first version is identical to the second version; and

transmitting WLAN access point position information corresponding to the second version to the communication device after the "Position Information Renewal" message is sent. "

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-10 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DUNG LAM whose telephone number is (571) 272-6497. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F 9 - 5:30 pm, Every Other Friday Off.

Art Unit: 2617

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Harper can be reached on (571) 272-7605. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/VINCENT P. HARPER/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617